UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,013	04/04/2006	Rudolf Fahrig	P28506	8056
	7590 01/28/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		HENRY, MICHAEL C	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/28/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/550,013	FAHRIG ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL C. HENRY	1623
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th     Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, pre	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) 8-12,15-22 and 24-26 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 8-12, 15-22, 24-26 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examir</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac</li> <li>Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir</li> </ul>	ccepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/550,013 Page 2

Art Unit: 1623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

The finality of the office action 09/19/08 is withdrawn.

The following office action is a responsive to the Amendment filed, 10/30/08.

The amendment filed 10/30/08 affects the application, 10/550,013 as follows:

- Claim 8 has been amended. Claims 13, 14 and 23 have been canceled. The
  rejections made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) in the prior office action mailed 09/19/08 are
  maintained.
- 2. Upon further consideration it was determined that the indicated allowable subject matter of the prior office action mailed 09/19/08 was not appropriate. Consequently, this allowable subject matter is <a href="withdrawn">withdrawn</a> and a new ground(s) of rejection is made herein and is made Non-final.
- 3. The responsive to applicants' arguments is contained herein below.

Claims 8-12, 15-22, 24-26 are pending in application

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-12, 15-22, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 "recites the phrase "a 5-substituted nucleoside comprising (E)-5-(2-bromovinyl)-2'-deoxyuridine (BVDU)". However, the claim is indefinite since it is unclear how a 5-substituted nucleoside can comprise (E)-5-(2-bromovinyl)-2'-deoxyuridine (BVDU) as opposed

Art Unit: 1623

to being (E)-5-(2-bromovinyl)-2'-deoxyuridine (BVDU). Also, the claim recites the phrase "wherein the 5-substituted nucleoside administered during the recovery phase comprises a compound of a given general formula I". However, the claim is indefinite since it is unclear how the 5-substituted nucleoside can comprise a compound of a given general formula I as opposed to being a compound of general formula I. Furthermore, the claim is indefinite since it is unclear how the 5-substituted nucleoside that is administered during the recovery phase can be both (E)-5-(2-bromovinyl)-2'-deoxyuridine (BVDU) and the given compound of the general formula I

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-12, 15-22, 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fahrig et al. (WO 96/23506, English Translation).

Claim 8 is drawn to a method of increasing apoptotic effect of cytostatics after chemotherapy comprising administering a 5-substituted nucleoside comprising (E)-5-(2-bromovinyl)-2'-deoxyuridine (BVDU), salt, prodrug or mixture thereof, the administering being without administration of a cytostatic, during a recovery phase after a cytostatic chemotherapy cycle wherein the 5-substituted nucleoside administered during the recovery phase comprises a compound of a given general formula I. Claim 9 is drawn to said method wherein the administration includes cytostatic and a 5-substituted nucleoside comprising BVDU, a protected

Art Unit: 1623

form, salt prodrug, or mixture thereof. Claims 10-12, 15-22 and 24-26 are drawn to said method involving the administration of specific amounts of cytostatic and BVDU, specific recovery phase and chemotherapy cycle, and specific concentration of 5-substituted nucleoside in the blood and specific cytostatics.

Fahrig et al. disclose that 5'substituted nucleosides in combination with at least one cytostatic can be used in the production of a medicament to prevent or reduce the build-up of resistance in cytostatic treatment and a medicament containing BVDU and/or its metabolites (see abstract). It should be noted that the apoptotic effect encompasses the cytostatic treatment disclosed by Fahrig et al. Furthermore, Fahrig et al. disclose that BVDU alone appears slightly to lessen the spontaneous degree of gene amplification (see page 10- line 24 to page 11, line 3). In addition, Fahrig et al. disclose that BVDU, in clinically relevant doses, inhibits AMP-induced gene amplification and that the said inhibition is dose dependent (see page 10- line 24 to page 11, line 3). This implies that BVDU has the effect of preventing or reducing the build-up of resistance resulting from cytostatic treatment. In addition, it should be noted that the given compound of general formula I is a known prodrug (Cas # 232925-18-7) of the compound BVDU (see also applicant's specification page 3, last paragraph).

The difference between applicant's claimed method and the method suggested by Fahrig et al. is that Fahrig et al. do not disclose administering said BVDU during the recovery phase after a cytostatic chemotherapy cycle. However, Fahrig et al. suggest that BVDU can cause the apoptotic effect of the cytostatic to be more effective (i.e., increased) due to the build-up of resistance in cytostatic treatment. This implies that BVDU has the effect of preventing or reducing the build-up of resistance resulting from cytostatic treatment. Consequently, a skilled

Art Unit: 1623

artisan would be motivated to administer BVDU alone to reduce the build-up of resistance resulting from cytostatic treatment and to exclude the administration of more cytostatic which may cause side effects or adverse effects and to optimize or maximize the effectiveness of said cyotostatic especially during a recovery phase after a cytostatic chemotherapy cycle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed invention was made in view of Fahrig et al., to increase apoptotic effect of cytostatics after chemotherapy comprising administering said BVDU or a prodrug of BVDU such as the compound of general formula I, during a recovery phase after a cytostatic chemotherapy cycle based on factors such as the severity of the build-up of resistance due to the cytostatic treatment (especially after chemotherapy cycle), the side effects or adverse effects of excess cytostatics build up, the maximum tolerant dose of the cytostatic and the type of individual treated, since Fahrig et al. disclose that BVDU and/or its metabolites can reduce the build-up of resistance in cytostatic treatment.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated, in view of Fahrig et al. to increase apoptotic effect of cytostatics after chemotherapy comprising administering said BVDU or a prodrug of BVDU such as the compound of general formula I, during a recovery phase after a cytostatic chemotherapy cycle based on factors such as the severity of the build-up of resistance in cytostatic treatment (especially after chemotherapy cycle), the side effects or adverse effects of excess cytostatics, the tolerant dose of the cytostatic and the type of individual treated, since Fahrig et al. disclose that BVDU and/or its metabolites can reduce the build-up of resistance in cytostatic treatment. It should be noted that the use of prodrugs is common in the art and is well within the purview of a skilled artisan. Also, It should be note that the use of specific

ratios of drugs, agents or cytostatics and frequency of administration depends on factors such as

the type and severity of the condition treated and the kind of subject treated.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 8-12, 15-22, 24-26 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael C. Henry whose telephone number is 571-272-0652.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm; Mon-Fri. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia A. Jiang can be

reached on 571-272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or

proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Michael C. Henry

January 21, 2009.

/Shaojia Anna Jiang/ Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1623